Management summary – Overall survey results

**Grant process**

- The **satisfaction level is very high (87%)** with various aspects of the grant (size, duration, eligible activities)
- Most of the OD and Capacity Development **work is completed in strategy, communication and fundraising by providing external expertise and covering personnel costs**
- For half of the respondents, the **grant focus evolved** compared to the initial plan

**OD partnership**

- **Three quarters** of the partners are **fine with the quality, frequency & transparency of information/communication**
- The **level of trust is high**: 90% of respondents are very comfortable or comfortable discussing issues with OD staff at MAVA/CDF BirdLife
- **OD staff** at MAVA & CDF staff at BirdLife International is seen **strong on problem solving** and **understanding local constraints**

**Flexibility & responsiveness in uncertain times**

- Respondents are **very satisfied (51%) or satisfied (41%) with the flexibility and responsiveness** in uncertain times

**OD support outcomes**

- **92% of the partners are pleased** with the OD and CDF **support outcomes**: 38% very satisfied and 54% are satisfied

**Outlook on future work**

- All partners plan to pursue their OD **beyond the current action plan**, both direct and delegated partners select **Fundraising, Communication and Governance** as top 3 priorities for the future
- **Advocacy, Outreach, Membership and Volunteer management** emerge from the open question upfront
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Introduction to the OD partner perception survey (1/2)

Context and objectives

- Midterm of implementing its 2017-2022 strategy, MAVA Foundation is seeking to gather extensive feedback from its OD partners. The aim is to assess how its OD portfolio is doing and find out whether adjustments for the remaining two years would be beneficial.
- Concretely, MAVA Foundation is interested in the following:
  - How are the OD grants perceived by its partners?
  - What are major outcomes, strengths and weaknesses?
  - What elements can be reinforced?
  - How does the COVID-19 pandemic affects its partners and how could the Foundation provide extra support?

Survey cornerstones

- The level of satisfaction was assessed through 30-35 questions in the following areas:
  - Grant process,
  - Partnership
  - External support
  - Flexibility and responsiveness in uncertain times
  - OD support outcomes
  - Outlook and survey closure.
- 19 direct partners and 18 partner of the CDF at BirdLife International (‘indirect partners’) were invited to answer the survey. The response quote was 100%.
- The feedback was collected in June 2020, analysed over Sommer and kept strictly confidential by an independent consultant.
- The results have been shared in September 2020 with MAVA and the CDF team at BirdLife and other stakeholder in the syndication phase in an aggregated and anonymous way.
Introduction to the OD partner perception survey (2/2)

Syndication phase

- 15 syndication interviews and group discussions were conducted in September 2020 with direct partners (3), indirect partners from the BirdLife CDF (2), CDF staff at BirdLife International (2 at headquarters, 2 in the field), consultants (3), MAVA programme staff (9), MAVA OD staff and leadership (4). The aim was to share survey results, gather additional insights and finetune recommendations. Overall 25 stakeholders were involved in the syndication.

Inherent biases to keep in mind

- **Language bias**: Programme staff wonder whether the survey should have been conducted in several languages to further increase the quality of the answers. Not all partners are at ease in English or able to express all nuances in a foreign language.
- **Interest bias (funder effect)**: Direct partners have an intrinsic interest in keeping a good relationship with their funder, their answers are thus not independent/uninterested. Everything was put in place to reduce that effect (independent survey, additional syndication phase, etc.) but it remains there to a certain extent. Some of the internal interview partner believe in the syndication phase that the results are too positive as a result, and consultants warn as well (‘fair weather’). Also, blind spots are not uncovered with a partner perception survey.
- **Single opinions**: While partners were asked to fill out the survey for the entire organization, some answers might only reflect the view of one person.
- **Sensitive comparison**: Both types of partners (direct and delegated through CDF at BirdLife International) cannot be compared 1:1. It is easier for an indirect partner to be critical about the OD support, knowing the results are not analysed on behalf of their OD provider, but on behalf of the funder MAVA. Thus the inhibition is reduced.
- **Negativity bias**: even when of equal intensity, negative experiences or memories have a greater effect on one's psychological state and processes than neutral or positive things.
Survey data: 37 respondents, 2 funding mechanisms, 100% response rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic information for all partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overview</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 total responses (19 direct partners and 18 indirect partners)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% response quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Headcount</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38% &lt;10, 10&lt;37%&lt;20, 20&lt;27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40% Europe, 29% Western Africa, 7% Northern Africa, 24% Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mediterranean, Near East, Middle East, West Asia, Central, Southeast Asia, Transatlantic, Global,)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grant size (EUR)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54%&lt;50000, 50000&lt;32%&lt;200000, 200000&lt;14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grant duration (years)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68%&lt;2, 2&lt;24%&lt;3, 3&lt;8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The satisfaction level with various aspects of the OD grant is very high (87%)

Q6: How satisfied are you with various aspects of the grant?

Answered: 37    Skipped: 0

- **Grant size**: 90% are very satisfied or satisfied with the size
- **Grant duration**: 92% are very satisfied or satisfied with the duration
- **Eligible activities**: 89% are very satisfied or satisfied with the eligible activities
- **Overall grant process**: 81% are satisfied or very satisfied with the overall process
Most of the OD and Capacity Development work is completed in strategy, communication and fundraising by providing external expertise and covering personnel costs.

Q5: What functional areas does the OD grant/CDF grant cover and by which means? Answered: 37   Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Functional area</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>External expertise</th>
<th>Travel &amp; exchange</th>
<th>Personnel costs</th>
<th>Infrastructure &amp; IT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR &amp; Admin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Travel and exchange is seen as important for peer learning. It was reduced with the Covid-19 pandemic, but should be considered again in a second phase.
For nearly half of the respondents, the grant focus evolved a moderate amount or more

Q4: Looking at the purpose and focus of the grant, would you say it evolved over time compared to the initial plan?

Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

The purpose evolution of the grant is inherent to OD and/or Covid-19 driven according to interviewed partners.

Staff turnover contributes also to grant evolution according to MAVA Programme staff.

Part of it is due to competing priorities and sometimes bad time management according to the consultants.
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Overall, three quarters of the partners are fine with the quality, frequency and transparency of information and communication

Q7: How do you assess the level of transparency, communication frequency and quality of information from OD staff at MAVA/from CDF staff at BirdLife International?

Answered: 37 (36 on transparency)  Skipped: 0 (1 on transparency)

- **Quality of information**: 84% assess the quality of information as very high or high
- **Communication frequency**: 78% assess communication frequency as very high or high
- **Level of transparency**: 72% assess the level of transparency as very high or high
The level of trust is high: 90% of respondents are very comfortable or comfortable discussing issues with OD staff at MAVA/with CDF staff at BirdLife

Q8: How comfortable are you with discussing sensitive issues openly with OD staff at MAVA/with CDF staff at BirdLife International?

Answered: 37   Skipped: 0
Partners acknowledge non-financial support provided, in particular sharing funding opportunities (56% always or usually) and coaching & advice (49% always or usually)

Q9: How often you get various types of non-financial support from OD staff at MAVA/from the CDF staff at BirdLife International?
Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Type</th>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Usually</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical expertise</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching &amp; advice</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door opener for peers</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing funding opportunities</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door opener towards funders</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The partners rate non-financial support in very different manners, depending on their own experience. The partner’s maturity level is reflected (the lower the more support), as is the tailored support by the OD staff.
86% of respondents rate OD staff and CDF staff as available a great deal and a lot, 67% as strong on problem-solving, 68% as understanding local constraints.

Q10: Please rate aspects of your interactions with the OD staff at MAVA/with the CDF staff at BirdLife International

Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

- **Availability**: 54% A great deal, 32% A lot, 14% A moderate amount
- **Problem-solving**: 35% A great deal, 32% A lot, 30% A moderate amount, 3% A little
- **Understanding local constraints**: 38% A great deal, 30% A lot, 19% A moderate amount, 14% A little

Legend:
- A great deal
- A lot
- A moderate amount
- A little
- None at all
Q11: How aligned are the messages from the different interlocutors at MAVA/CDF staff?

Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

70% of partners see a high alignment in the messages from different interlocutors at MAVA and BirdLife International.

The single feedback on ‘no alignment at all’ is directed towards other units and the overall organisation, not the OD/Capacity Development Unit.
The partnership provides confidence and legitimacy to its partners for discussing with third-party funders.

Q12: To what extent does the OD partnership with MAVA provide some of the following for discussing with third-party funders? Has the CDF support provided any of the following with others?  Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

- **Confidence**
  - A great deal: 24%
  - A lot: 38%
  - A moderate amount: 32%
  - A little: 5%
  - None at all: 5%
  - 62% feel the partnership provides a great deal or a lot of confidence for discussing with other funders.

- **Legitimacy**
  - A great deal: 16%
  - A lot: 46%
  - A moderate amount: 32%
  - A little: 5%
  - None at all: 5%
  - 72% feel the OD partnership provides a great deal or a lot of legitimacy.

- **Hurdle**
  - A great deal: 3%
  - A lot: 14%
  - A moderate amount: 22%
  - A little: 8%
  - None at all: 54%
  - A majority doesn’t see the partnership as a hurdle for discussing with third-party funders.

*A partnership fosters feelings of solidarity. The increased legitimacy results in a better standing in the sector.*
Over two thirds of respondents are satisfied or highly satisfied with non-financial support, triangular relationships are not an issue

Q13: Overall, how satisfied are you with various aspects of the OD partnership/of the CDF partnership?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-financial support</th>
<th>Answered: 37 Skipped: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very dissatisfied</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction</td>
<td>70% very satisfied or satisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triangular relationship with MAVA OD/CDF and programme staff at MAVA/BirdLife</th>
<th>Answered: 37 Skipped: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very dissatisfied</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction</td>
<td>65% very satisfied or satisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triangular relationship MAVA-consultant</th>
<th>Answered: 19 Skipped: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very dissatisfied</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction</td>
<td>66% very satisfied or satisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-financial support could be further spelled out and tailored in view the last two years
OD partners have suggestions for MAVA and the CDF at BirdLife International

Q14: What suggestions do you have to further improve the OD partnership?

**Suggestions overview and categories for MAVA**
- Rethink role and presence
- Make assertive decisions
- Increase (face to face) meetings
- Keep/leave space for action
- Integrate Covid-19 pandemic
- Consider follow-up project
- Open doors to other funders
- Help with governance issues

**Suggestions overview and categories for BirdLife International**
- Improve coordination/harmonisation
- Encourage autonomy
- Increase flexibility/efficiency at all levels
- Increase peer exchange, know the partners, improve communication channels
- Improve processes, incl. grant allocation
- Extend time horizon
- Transparency & less hierarchical approach

*Decision-making, communication and governance are areas with suggestions*
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Nearly 90% of respondents encountered unexpected challenges and over 80% feel at ease discussing with MAVA and BirdLife

Q19: Please share your experience with unexpected developments during the course of the OD grant/CDF grant
Answered: 37   Skipped: 0

- We encountered unexpected internal or external challenges:
  - 11% None at all
  - 22% A little
  - 41% A moderate amount
  - 19% A lot
  - 8% A great deal

- We feel at ease discussing challenges with MAVA/the Capacity Development Fund:
  - 3% None at all
  - 14% A little
  - 43% A moderate amount
  - 41% A lot

68% feel a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal at ease.

84% feel a lot and a great deal at ease.

The level of trust is high in this special Covid-19 year. A large majority of respondents feels at ease sharing their challenges.
The pandemic is affecting all areas of work. Fundraising and local partners seem most affected, while 16% at the most claim not to be affected in each dimension.

Q20: Is the current COVID-19 pandemic causing challenges to your organisation? Answered: 37 Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>None at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>A moderate amount</th>
<th>A lot</th>
<th>A great deal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our capacity to fulfill the mission</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our conservation projects</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our priority setting</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our teamwork &amp; governance</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our cost management</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our funding level</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our fundraising</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our local partners</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“(…) Current grants have to be modified, discussed with donors, some grant opportunities are disappearing while others are emerging. (…)”

“(…) fundraising is more complicated, on one hand there are new opportunities for project that do integrate biodiversity conservation, human development and health. On the other side we feel that some funding was drained from conservation to health, digitalisation, etc.”

“(…) We will feel the challenges with a time-lag, once the funding organisations slow down with their calls or (…), once the existing national co-financing mechanisms are shifted towards other priorities.”
92% of respondents are very satisfied (51%) or satisfied (41%) with the flexibility and responsiveness in uncertain times.

Q21: How satisfied are you with the flexibility & responsiveness of MAVA/BirdLife International CDF in uncertain times?
Answered: 37   Skipped: 0

Respondents are especially keen that the responsiveness is kept medium-term as it appears the pandemic is likely to last.

Source: Wordcloud formed with appraisal from comment box (wordArt)
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Overall, respondents believe OD support is strengthening most clearly the stability and capacity, less clearly other dimensions such as growth, conservation impact and networking with peers.

Q25/21: Would you say the OD/CDF support strengthened / is strengthening your organisation’s ...

Answered: 37   Skipped: 0

For partners, the provided support is resulting most in increased capacity and stability; growth and conservation impact will occur indirectly with a time lag.
Partner see Strategy as the area with the highest level of impact, followed by Governance and Fundraising.

Q26: Please rank the functional areas from the highest to the lowest level of impact resulting from the OD/CDF support
Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

Even if ranked last here, HR & Admin is a polarised topic: a few rank it very high.
All partners agree that the top 3 tools are external expertise, support to personnel costs and training

Q27: Please rank the functional areas from the highest to the lowest level of impact resulting from the OD/CDF support

Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

- External expertise
- Personnel costs
- Training
- Travel & Exchange
- Infrastructure & IT

Travel & exchange is expected to increase in relevance as the partners implement their capacity building and organisational development
When prompted on funding/fundraising, respondents name a **better fundraising strategy** and **new funding sources** as the two biggest outcomes of the OD support.

Q28/24: What direct or indirect effect did the OD/CDF support have on various aspects of your organisation's funding/fundraising?

Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>None at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>A moderate amount</th>
<th>A lot</th>
<th>A great deal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better fundraising strategy</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brought new funding sources</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-year funding</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widened the address book</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced funder dependency</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted funding</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fundraising was not in the focus of all OD and CDF grants.
Senior management shows the highest responsiveness and readiness for change (strong alignment), closely followed by staff.

Q29: How would you rate the responsiveness and readiness for change at various levels of your organisation?  
Answered: 19  Skipped: 0

### Bar Chart:
- **Board level**
  - Extremely responsive: 16%
  - Very responsive: 41%
  - Somewhat responsive: 24%
  - Not so responsive: 14%
  - Not at all responsive: 5%

- **Senior management level**
  - Extremely responsive: 24%
  - Very responsive: 68%
  - Somewhat responsive: 5%
  - Not so responsive: 3%

- **Staff level**
  - Extremely responsive: 14%
  - Very responsive: 70%
  - Somewhat responsive: 16%

The most frequent barriers mentioned are lack of involvement & understanding, lack of time & resources, as well as little professional experience. Close to 20% of respondents rate their board as not so (14%) or not at all responsive (5%). Governance is identified by many as an area to work on in future.
92% of the partners are pleased with the OD and CDF support outcomes:
38% very satisfied and 54% are satisfied

Q30/26: Overall, how satisfied are you with the OD support outcomes?
Answered: 37  Skipped: 0

Partners have suggestions in 6 main areas:
• Open doors to funders and provide meeting opportunities; provide additional & strong funding
• Reinforce technical support
• Stay flexible and provide support as a consequence of Covid-19
• Support peer exchange and encourage peer collaboration
• Encourage governance improvements
• Accelerate own decision-making
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Outlook on future OD work
All partners plan to pursue their OD beyond the current action plan, 73% select fundraising among their top 3 priorities for the future.

Q32: Do you plan to pursue your organisational development, once your current OD action plan implementation is finalized? 100% Yes

Q34: Please rank the functional areas from your highest to lowest Capacity Development priorities for the future:

- Fundraising
- Communication
- Governance
- Strategy
- Management
- HR & Admin
- Financial management